



OHCHR Accountability and Remedy Project

Improving accountability and access to remedy in cases of business involvement in human rights abuses

Phase III: Enhancing the effectiveness of non-State-based grievance mechanisms

Expert Meeting Agenda

OHCHR, Palais Wilson Building, Rue des Pâquis 52, 1201 Genève
1st Floor Conference Room
20-21 September 2018

DAY 1: Thursday, 20 September 2018	
10:30 – 11:00	<i>Coffee and registration</i>
11:00 – 11:30	Welcome and introduction to ARP III <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Overview of Accountability and Remedy Project- Methodology and approach of ARP
11:30 – 13:00	Developing the draft scoping paper <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The Manchester Study:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Methodology and main findings- OHCHR Draft Scoping Paper:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o Overview- Questions, reactions and discussion
13:00 – 14:00	<i>Break for Lunch</i>



14:00 – 15:00	The proper scope of ARP III <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Proposed typology of mechanisms- Working with a sample group from each type, and whether there are mechanisms that should definitely be taken into account- Focusing on certain sectors- Focusing on certain rights- Discussion
15:00 – 15:30	Overview of work streams <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Description of and rationale behind chosen work streams- General discussion on work streams
15:30 – 16:00	<i>Coffee break</i>
16:00 – 17:45	<u>Work stream 1: Practical steps that mechanisms can take to meet the “effectiveness criteria” of UNGP 31</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does the work stream cover the right ground?- Does this work stream cover too much ground, and should it be split up?- Methodology- Issues to address- Activities- Research and potential partnerships- Linkages with other work streams- Outputs
17:45 – 18:00	Wrap up and summary of Day 1



DAY 2: Friday, 21 September 2018	
9:00 – 9:15	Recap of Day 1 discussions
9:15 – 10:15	<u>Work stream 2: How States can facilitate access to effective non-State-based grievance mechanisms</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does the work stream cover the right ground?- Methodology- Issues to address- Activities- Research and potential partnerships- Linkages with other work streams- Outputs
10:15 – 10:30	<i>Coffee break</i>
10:30 – 11:30	<u>Work stream 3: How non-State-based grievance mechanisms can work together to improve the prospects for effective remedy</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does the work stream cover the right ground?- Methodology- Issues to address- Activities- Research and potential partnerships- Linkages with other work streams- Outputs
11:30 – 12:30	<u>Work stream 4: Safeguarding rights-holders, their families and representatives and human rights defenders from retaliation, harassment and intimidation</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does the work stream cover the right ground?- Methodology- Issues to address- Activities- Research and potential partnerships- Linkages with other work streams- Outputs



12:30 – 13:30	<i>Break for lunch</i>
13:30 – 14:30	<u>Work stream 5: Effective stakeholder involvement in the design, implementation and follow-up monitoring of remedies</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does the work stream cover the right ground?- Methodology- Issues to address- Activities- Research and potential partnerships- Linkages with other work streams- Outputs
14:30 – 15:15	General discussion on work streams <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Are there work streams that should be included or excluded?- Should these work streams be repackaged?
15:15 – 16:00	Way forward and concluding remarks <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Objectives- Timetable- Challenges- Lessons from previous research on similar issues- Conclusion